### How Segregated is Urban Consumption? Presentation at CFM-PER Geolocation Economics Conference

Donald R. Davis

Columbia University and NBER

May 4, 2020

Davis (Columbia)

Segregation of Consumption

May 4, 2020 1 / 27

# Journal of Political Economy, August 2019

#### How Segregated Is Urban Consumption?

#### Donald R. Davis

Columbia University and National Bureau of Economic Research

#### Jonathan I. Dingel

University of Chicago and National Bureau of Economic Research

#### Joan Monras

Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona Graduate School of Economics, and Centre for Economic Policy Research

#### Eduardo Morales

Princeton University and National Bureau of Economic Research

#### Residential Segregation in New York City



Davis (Columbia)

- History of segregated amenities
- Jim Crow, civil rights movement and lunch counter sit-ins
- Elijah Anderson (Yale): *The Cosmopolitan Canopy: Race and Civility in Everyday Life*
- Vast literature on segregation of residences
- Very little empirical work on segregation of consumption
  - Hard to measure

How we answer the question:

- Gather data on individuals' restaurant visits within New York City
- Infer spatial and social frictions from behavior by estimating a discrete-choice model of individuals' visit decisions
- Use model-predicted consumer behavior to measure consumption segregation

Example: Three Neighorhoods in Manhattan





Compliments

# Home, Work Locations in Sample



Davis (Columbia)

Segregation of Consumption

May 4, 2020 8 / 27

# Two Users: Home, Work, Visits



Davis (Columbia)

Segregation of Consumption

May 4, 2020 9 / 27

## All Venues Visited by Sample



Davis (Columbia)

Segregation of Consumption

May 4, 2020 10 / 27

- Measures demographic distance between an origin (home or work) and destination (venue) census tract
- Take five census demographic groups: Asian, Black, Hispanic, (Non-Hispanic) White, Other
- Calculate the shares  $s_{gi}$  of each group g in the population of census tract i
- Combine these shares as follows to define the Euclidean Demographic Distance between *i* and *j*:

$$EDD \equiv \left(\sum_{g=1}^{5} \left(s_{gi} - s_{gj}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} / \sqrt{2}$$

- EDD varies between
  - 0: identical demographic shares in the two locations, and
  - 1: Origin is 100 percent one demographic group and destination is 100 percent a different one

## Role of Distance From Home to Venue



Figure 7: Shows chosen restaurants more proximate to home than non-chosen restaurants

Davis (Columbia)

May 4, 2020 12 / 27

### Role of Distance From Work to Venue



Figure 8: Shows chosen restaurants more proximate to work than non-chosen restaurants

Davis (Columbia)

May 4, 2020 13 / 27

## Euclidean Demographic Distance



Figure 9: Shows chosen restaurants' local demographics more similar to home demographics than locale of non-chosen restaurants

Davis (Columbia)

Segregation of Consumption

May 4, 2020 14 / 27

Spatial Frictions

- A venue half as far away in travel time
  - Twice as likely to visit by public transit
  - Four times as likely to visit by automobile

Social Frictions

- A visit to a venue one SD closer in Euclidean demographic distance
  - 27 percent more likely to visit
  - Equal to 21 percent closer
- Robust to using individual-level measures of race, ethnicity
- Interaction of EDD and Spectral Segregation Index weak effects

With our estimates in hand

- We can predict the restaurant visits of individuals in a demographic group x location
- Applying these estimates to the entire New York City population, with demographic group x location weights from Census data
  - We can predict all visits of all groups
- We then use these visits to calculate consumption dissimilarity indices for restaurant visits in the same way we do for residential segregation
  - High numbers mean more segregation of consumption

		Residential dissimilarity	Consumption dissimilarity					
			Estimated	No spatial	No social	Neither friction		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
Dissimilar	rity index	0.0	- //-	- 0				
Asian		.521	.273	.225	.157	.133		
Hispanic		.486	.101	.071	.067	.042		
black		.653	.261	.232	.11	.091		
white		.636	.184	.142	.088	.053		
Pairwise d	dissimilarity	/						
Asian	Hispanic	.584	.251	.202	.16	.132		
Asian	black	.796	.399	.347	.195	.172		
Asian	white	.519	.256	.209	.163	.131		
Hispanic	white	.658	.163	.121	.074	.028		
black	Hispanic	.558	.212	.196	.108	.089		
black	white	.822	.288	.249	.13	.098		

#### Figure 10:

Dissimilarity Index for Urban Consumption (Estimated)

- Typically half as segregated as residences
  - Residential segregation plus transit costs suggests we can expect some segregation
  - Possible that it could have been higher than residential (but not)
- Variation in bilateral dissimilarity indices for urban consumption
  - Highest value for Asian-Black

Because the total restaurant visits are generated based on our estimates, we can ask:

- If spatial frictions disappeared, what would happen to the segregation of urban consumption?
  - It could become more *integrated* if this eliminates the role of residential segregation and travel times in generating consumption segregation
  - It could become more *segregrated* if eliminating travel costs leads people to segregate their consumption even more
  - In practice, eliminating spatial frictions reduces consumption segregation, although not dramatically
- If social frictions disappeared, what would happen to the segregation of urban consumption?
  - Falls more sharply than eliminating spatial frictions
  - Segregation that persists reflects interaction of travel costs and residential segregation plus group x cuisine preference differences

Compare residential and consumption segregation in

- The Upper East Side (white),
- Central Harlem (black)
- East Harlem (Hispanic)
- We can look at consumption shares under our counterfactuals as a table or via figures
  - In figures, dots continue to indicate race or ethnicity, with each dot being 10 percent of the census tract population
    - Red = Asian, Blue = black, Orange = Hispanic, Green = white

Residential share Estimated No Spatial No Neither   Community Board 8: Upper East Side   sian 0.080 0.107 0.123 0.115 0.128   lack 0.023 0.173 0.191 0.196 0.212   ispanic 0.066 0.269 0.263 0.297 0.286   hite 0.810 0.425 0.396 0.365 0.346   Community Board 10: Central Harlem   Sian 0.024 0.038 0.056 0.081 0.109   lack 0.630 0.507 0.496 0.264 0.229   ispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302   hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331   Community Board 11: East Harlem   Sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   Locumunity Board 11: East Harlem   Sian 0.056 0.040 0.052			Consumption share								
Community Board 8: Upper East Side   sian 0.080 0.107 0.123 0.115 0.128   lack 0.023 0.173 0.191 0.196 0.212   lispanic 0.066 0.269 0.263 0.297 0.286   hite 0.810 0.425 0.396 0.365 0.346   Community Board 10: Central Harlem   sian 0.024 0.038 0.056 0.081 0.109   lack 0.630 0.507 0.496 0.264 0.229   ispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302   hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331   Community Board 11: East Harlem   Sian 0.056 0.127   Community Board 11: East Harlem   Sian 0.126 0.126   Logand 11: East Harlem   Sian 0.126 0.286 <th col<="" th=""><th></th><th>Residential share</th><th>Estimated</th><th>No Spatial</th><th>No Social</th><th>Neither</th></th>	<th></th> <th>Residential share</th> <th>Estimated</th> <th>No Spatial</th> <th>No Social</th> <th>Neither</th>		Residential share	Estimated	No Spatial	No Social	Neither				
sian 0.080 0.107 0.123 0.115 0.128 lack 0.023 0.173 0.191 0.196 0.212 lispanic 0.066 0.269 0.263 0.297 0.286 hite 0.810 0.425 0.396 0.365 0.346 Community Board 10: Central Harlem sian 0.024 0.038 0.056 0.081 0.109 lack 0.630 0.507 0.496 0.264 0.229 ispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302 hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331 Community Board 11: East Harlem sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106 lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236 ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299 hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331		Community Board 8: Upper East Side									
Jack 0.023 0.173 0.191 0.196 0.212   ispanic 0.066 0.269 0.263 0.297 0.286   hite 0.810 0.425 0.396 0.365 0.346   Community Board 10: Central Harlem   sian 0.024 0.038 0.056 0.081 0.109   Jack 0.630 0.507 0.496 0.264 0.229   ispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302   hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331   Community Board 11: East Harlem   Sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   Jack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   Ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	Asian	0.080	0.107	0.123	0.115	0.128					
Ispanic 0.066 0.269 0.263 0.297 0.286   hite 0.810 0.425 0.396 0.365 0.346   Community Board 10: Central Harlem   sian 0.024 0.038 0.056 0.081 0.109   lack 0.630 0.507 0.496 0.264 0.229   ispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302   hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331   Community Board 11: East Harlem   Sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	black	0.023	0.173	0.191	0.196	0.212					
hite 0.810 0.425 0.396 0.365 0.346   Community Board 10: Central Harlem   sian 0.024 0.038 0.056 0.081 0.109   lack 0.630 0.507 0.496 0.264 0.229   ispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302   hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331   Community Board 11: East Harlem   sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	Hispanic	0.066	0.269	0.263	0.297	0.286					
Community Board 10: Central Harlem   sian 0.024 0.038 0.056 0.081 0.109   lack 0.630 0.507 0.496 0.264 0.229   lispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302   hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331   Community Board 11: East Harlem   sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	white	0.810	0.425	0.396	0.365	0.346					
sian 0.024 0.038 0.056 0.081 0.109 lack 0.630 0.507 0.496 0.264 0.229 lispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302 hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331 Community Board 11: East Harlem sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106 lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236 lispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299 hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331		Com	munity Boar	d 10: Central	Harlem						
Jack 0.630 0.507 0.496 0.264 0.229   ispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302   hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331   Community Board 11: East Harlem   sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   Jack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	Asian	0.024	0.038	0.056	0.081	0.109					
Ispanic 0.222 0.305 0.257 0.376 0.302   hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331   Community Board 11: East Harlem   sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	black	0.630	0.507	0.496	0.264	0.229					
hite 0.095 0.127 0.166 0.253 0.331   Community Board 11: East Harlem   sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   lispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	Hispanic	0.222	0.305	0.257	0.376	0.302					
Community Board 11: East Harlem   sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	white	0.095	0.127	0.166	0.253	0.331					
sian 0.056 0.040 0.052 0.087 0.106   lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331		Co	mmunity Boa	ard 11: East H	Harlem						
lack 0.309 0.411 0.433 0.244 0.236   ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299   hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	Asian	0.056	0.040	0.052	0.087	0.106					
ispanic 0.494 0.370 0.305 0.365 0.299 hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	black	0.309	0.411	0.433	0.244	0.236					
hite 0.120 0.157 0.187 0.277 0.331	Hispanic	0.494	0.370	0.305	0.365	0.299					
◆□ >	white	0.120	0.157	0.187	0.277	0.331					
				-		(≣) < ₹					

Davis (Columbia)



▶ < E ▶ E ∽ Q C May 4, 2020 22 / 27

<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト





▶ ৰ ≣ ▶ ≣ ৩৭৫ May 4, 2020 24/27



Davis (Columbia)

Segregation of Consumption

May 4, 2020 25 / 27



Davis (Columbia)

Segregation of Consumption

May 4, 2020 26 / 27

Geolocated data allow exploration of subjects previously unexplored

- Residences remain highly segregated
- Consumption about half as segregated as residences
  - Eliminating spatial frictions would reduce consumption segregation modestly
  - Eliminating social frictions would reduce consumption segregation more strongly, even with residential segregation unchanged